

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/770,788	01/26/2001		Louis L. Hsu	728-194 (YOR9-2000-0858)	8269		
7590		05/09/2002					
Paul J. Farrell, Esq. Dilworth & Barrese, LLP 333 Earle Ovington Blvd.				EXAM	INER		
				NGUYEN, DAO H			
Uniondale, NY 11553		l		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2818			
				DATE MAILED: 05/09/2002	DATE MAILED: 05/09/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/770	,788	HSU ET AL.				
Offic	ce Action Summary	Examir	ner	Art Unit				
·		Dao H	~ -	2818				
The MA Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on	the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	ddress			
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If the period for re - If NO period for re - Failure to reply with any reply receiver	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FO DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO e may be available under the provisions of ITHS from the mailing date of this commu- eply specified above is less than thirty (30 exply is specified above, the maximum stati ithin the set or extended period for reply v d by the Office later than three months after adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. of ays, a reply within the electrony period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a statutory minimum of th d will expire SIX (6) MO application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this OBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
1)⊠ Respor	nsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>14 March 20</u>	<u>)02</u> .					
2a) This ac	ction is FINAL . 2	!b)⊠ This action	is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Cl								
	43-65 is/are pending in the							
•	ie above claim(s) is/ar	e withdrawn from	consideration.					
• ==-) is/are allowed.							
•) is/are rejected.							
) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ Claim(s Application Pape) <u>43-65</u> are subject to restrict	ion and/or election	n requirement.	,				
•	cification is objected to by the	Evaminer						
, —	ving(s) filed on is/are:		∩ objected to by	the Examiner				
	ant may not request that any obje							
	•							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
, —	U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•						
-	- -	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
, _	certified copies of the priority	documents have t	peen received.					
	certified copies of the priority			Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
	attached detailed Office action							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)			_					
2) Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (P closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa			w Summary (PTO-413) Paper N of Informal Patent Application (P				

Election/Restrictions

- 1. In response to the communications dated 03/14/2002, claims 43-65 are active in this application as a result of the addition of claims 43-65 and the cancellation of claims 1-42.
- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.
 121:
- **Group I.** Claims 43-55, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 200.
- **Group II.** Claims 56-65, drawn to the method of manufacturing a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, and subclass 218.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, unpatentabilities of the group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the group II invention, since the device of the group I invention could be made by other and materially different processes from those of the group II invention. For example, the device

of group I invention can be made without using the first mask and/or the second mask as described in claim 58 and/or claim 61 of group II invention, or that the device of group I invention can be made by using only one mask to cover only the region between the two regions where the haloes are going to be created instead of using the first mask and the second mask as described in claims 58 and 61 of group II invention.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the fields of search are not co-extensive. Therefore, separate examination would be required and restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).
- 6. A telephone call was made to Attorney Paul J. Farrell on 04/25/2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

- 7. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 1 (one) month and 0 (zero) day from the day of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see M.P.E.P 710.02(b)).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Dao Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-1957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00am 6:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (703) 308-4910. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Dao H. Nguyen Art Unit 2818

May 7, 2002

HOAI HO PRIMARY EXAMINER